

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/802,031	03/08/2001	Toshihisa Satake	01 -202	2801
75	90 08/13/2003			
Gregory P. LaPointe BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C. Suite 1201			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC	
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3713	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/802,031	" SATAKE, TOSHIHISA				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo	• •						
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>June 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	or election requirement.					
9)⊠ ′	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a) _l	, — <u> </u>						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.						
* 8	application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	• •					
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and T	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Substitute Specification and formal drawings filed in Papers No. 3 and 6, May 16, 2001 and July 5, 2001 have been received, however, the applicant fails to include a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter. Note that, the applicant must submit this statement in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.

Currently, claims 1-9 are pending in the application. Acknowledgment has been made.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the method steps of claims 1-5, 8, and 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show Figure 9, Figure 10, opponent's combat element S2, case (A), and case (B) as described in the specification (pages 26-29). Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or

Art Unit: 3713

corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "M1" has been used to designate small regions, advantageous small regions, disadvantageous regions, and opponent's combat elements (pages 21-24); and the reference character "S1" has been used to designate player's combat elements, opponent's combat elements, small region, and other regions (pages 25-26). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "M1" and "S1" have both been used to designate small regions (page 25). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised

Art Unit: 3713

carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: combat elements S1, S1 (page 25, line 1); other small regions S1, S1, ...(page 25, lines 6-7); player's combat elements S1, S1 (page 25, lines 20-21); opponent's combat elements S1, S1 (page 25, line 21); opponent's combat element S2 (page 26, line 8); small regions M1, M1, ... (page 21, line 20), advantageous small regions M1, M1, ... (page 21, line 23); disadvantageous regions M1, M1, ... (page 21, line 24); opponent's combat elements M1, M1, ... (page 22, line 12).

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 On page 28, line 26, the word "solider" should be changed to "soldier".
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 5, line 2, the recited term "predetermined three-dimensional filed" should be changed to "predetermined three-dimensional field". Appropriate correction is required.

9. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 3713

10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The computer program of claim 8 is non-statutory. See MPEP 2106, Part IV, B, 1(a). Note that, the applicant may amend the claim to be statutory by inserting language stating that the computer program is encoded on a computer-readable medium.

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1-9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin et al. (4,952,922) in view of Itai et al. (5,880,709).

Griffin et al. teaches a game method, apparatus, or storage medium having readable program code means therein for determining specified object position, comprising: generating map data (or means thereto) to display a map image on a display of the game apparatus, the map image two-dimensionally expressing a corresponding three-dimensional map which includes information representing a predetermined three-dimensional field; virtually disposing the three-dimensional map in parallel to the map image at a backward position thereof seeing from a predetermined viewpoint, such that straight lines extending from the predetermined viewpoint to given points on a peripheral edge of the map image further pass through corresponding points on a peripheral edge of the

Art Unit: 3713

three-dimensional map; projecting the predetermined viewpoint onto the threedimensional map via a position of the cursor displayed on the map image (Figures 3a-7b and column 1, line 57 to column 7, line 44); and detecting a point on the three-dimensional map where the projected viewpoint intersects the predetermined three-dimensional field (Figure 3a); virtually disposing the threedimensional map in parallel to the map image at a backward position thereof seeing from a predetermined viewpoint, such that straight lines extending from the predetermined viewpoint to given points on a peripheral edge of the map image further pass through corresponding points on a peripheral edge of the three-dimensional map (Figure 3a); projecting the predetermined viewpoint onto the three-dimensional map via a position of the cursor displayed on the map image; and detecting a point on the three-dimensional map where the projected viewpoint intersects the predetermined three-dimensional field; the predetermined three-dimensional field includes a plurality of areas, and the detecting step includes detecting which of the plurality of areas includes the detected point (Figures 3a and 4); the map data generating step includes generating map data to display an area on the map image, which corresponds to the detected area, on the display to be distinguishable from other areas (123a-123c)(Figure 3b); the predetermined three-dimensional field represents a ground surface (figure 3a and 4). See also, Figures 1-7b and columns 1-10.

Griffin et al. does not explicitly teach the limitations of generating cursor data to display a cursor on the displayed map image; controlling a position of the displayed cursor in accordance with an instruction from an operator; and

Art Unit: 3713

determining the detected point as a position where the cursor specifies on the displayed map image. Ital et al., however, teaches an image processing method and system for video game comprising generating cursor data to display a cursor on the displayed map image (Figures 3 and 6); controlling a position of the displayed cursor in accordance with an instruction from an operator; and determining the detected point as a position where the cursor specifies on the displayed map image (Figures 10A-10C). See also, Figures 1-9 and columns 4-13. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Griffin et al.'s system and method for determining specified object position in three-dimensional space utilizing ray tracing and inverse ray tracing with Ital et al.'s cursor to come up with a faster 3D graphic generating system and process and provide more user friendly navigation interface for video game thus attracts more game players and increases profits.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3713

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

gn)

BN

Teresa Walberg
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700